

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/080,942	02/22/2002	Fred Zulli	1761-0013	6515	
7590 10/17/2003			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Paul J. Maginot			DAVIS, RUTH A		
Maginot, Moore & Bowman Bank One Center/Tower 111 Monument Circle, Suite 3000 Indianapolis, IN 46204-5115			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1651 DATE MAILED: 10/17/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/080,942	ZULLI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Ruth A. Davis	1651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period who is a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 September 2003</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under E Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,5,6,8 and 9 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5,6,8 and 9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
<ul><li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov</li><li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic</li></ul>	• •					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Page	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's amendment filed September 8, 2003 has been received and entered into the case. Claims 7 and 10 - 14 have been canceled. Claims 1 - 2, 5 - 6 and 8 - 9 are pending and have been considered on the merits. Upon final search and reconsideration of the claims, new art was found and has been applied.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/080,942

Art Unit: 1651

3. Claims 1-2, 5-6 and 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andre-Jean et al. (JP 07145067 A) and (Ptchelintsev et al. (US 6071962).

Applicant claims a cosmetic composition comprising at least one biologically active isoflavones aglycone incorporated into a liposome, and at least one algal extract of Spirulina. The isoflavones is genistein or daidzein and is at a concentration of 1 – 500 mg per kg of cosmetic, or 20 – 100 mg/kg. The liposome has a diameter of less than 40 nm, specifically 100 – 140 nm.

Andre-Jean teaches cosmetic compositions comprising Spirulina extracts which are incorporated into liposomes (abstract).

Ptchelintsev teaches cosmetic compositions comprising daidzein and/or genistein (claims), which are incorporated into liposomes (col.10 line 65 – col.11 line15).

The above references do not teach the ingredients together in a single cosmetic.

However, at the time of the claimed invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the instant ingredients for their common use, as disclosed by the cited references above, since each is well known in the art for their claimed purpose. Although the references do not teach the claimed amounts or diameter sizes, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to optimize such parameters as a matter of routine experimentation. Moreover, at the time of the claimed invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by the cited references to combine and optimize the instant ingredients with a reasonable expectation for successfully obtaining a cosmetic. This rejection is based on the well established proposition of patent law that no invention resides in combining old ingredients of

Art Unit: 1651

known properties where the results obtained thereby are no more than the additive effect of the ingredients, *In re Sussman*, 1943 C.D. 518.

Thus, the invention as a whole is *prima facie* obvious over the references, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ruth A. Davis whose telephone number is 703-308-6310. The examiner can normally be reached on M-H (7:00-4:30); altn. F (7:00-3:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on 703-308-0196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Ruth A. Davis; rad October 8, 2003

> LEON B. LANKFORD, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER